REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION Q5

The San Jose Mercury News calls Proposition 65 a "tricky strategy" and adds "Prop 65 deserves consideration as one the most disingenuous ballot measures in state history."

The out-of-state plastic manufacturers behind Prop 65 don't care about protecting California's environment. They want to confuse you. Don't be fooled.

Bags aren't free; they cost your local grocer up to 15 cents each. The out-of-state plastic bag industry figures are bogus. The state's nonpartisan analysis projects that total revenue from Prop 65 is in the range of 'zero' to, at best, \$80 million.

Remember: there will be 'zero' funding for the environment from Prop 65 unless voters approve Prop 67 to phase out plastic bags.

But the plastic manufacturers behind Prop 65 are spending millions to persuade voters to oppose Prop 67. Confused? That's the plastic industry's plan!

If you care about protecting wildlife and standing up to the out-of-state plastic bag industry, Vote Yes on Prop 67, not this measure.

If you care about reducing plastic pollution, litter and waste, Vote Yes on Prop 67, not this measure.

If you care about reducing taxpayer costs for cleaning up plastic litter, Vote Yes on Prop 67, not this measure.

Mark Murray, Executive Director

Californians Against Waste

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES